

Remarks/Arguments**Priority****Examiner:**

5 It is noted that the filing number on the priority documents submitted does not match the priority number in applicant's declaration.

Response:

10 Attached at the end of this response are photocopies of the priority document and the Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney specifying the priority document according to our records. As can be seen, the declaration gives a filing date of 08/01/2002 and a filing number of
15 91117385, both of which match the application date (08/01/2002) and the application number (091117385) on the priority document. The serial number 09111017832 listed at the bottom merely represents the specific certified copy of the priority document.

20 Should our records be different from those received at the USPTO, the Applicant respectfully requests notification at the earliest possible convenience so that appropriate action can be taken.

25

30

Informalities**Examiner:**

Claims 1, 3, and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, lines 14-17 are not grammatically clear. It is recommended that applicant substitute "wherein" before "the clock grating circuit" on line 14, substitute "does not provide" for "not providing" on lines 14 and 15, and substitute "does not withhold" for "not withholding" on line 15. In claim 3, it is recommended that "first" should be inserted before "triggered" on line 3 to improve clarity because it appears as if this operation only takes place initially or after a reset operation and, on line 4, it is recommended that "its" should be inserted before "corresponding". Claim 11 requires a period at the end of the sentence. Appropriate action is required.

Response:

Claims 1, 3, and 11 have been amended as suggested by the Examiner. No new material has been introduced. Reconsideration of claims 1, 3, and 11 regarding cited informalities is respectfully requested.

25

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 112**Examiner:**

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enable requirement.

5 The specification does not teach an invention in which the first and second state units are selected according to only a fixed initial value.

Response:

10 Without disclaimer of any kind regarding their merits as originally filed, independent claims 1 and 7 have been amended such that the limitation "a fixed initial value" has been changed to "an initial value" (4th paragraph of the "Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment").

15 No new material has been introduced.

As can be clearly seen in Fig. 8, the initial value stored in the latch circuit 96 determines which state units are selected as "at least one first state unit" and which are

20 selected as "at least one second state unit". It may be necessary for the CLK3 and the EN6 to both at least pulse high for the gating circuit to function, but they play no part in the actual selection of units. The initial value completely controls which state units are selected.

25 As the allowability of claims 2-6 and 8-13 ultimately depends upon the allowability of independent claims 1 and 7, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of claims 1-13 under U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

30

Examiner:

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
5 applicant regards as the invention.

Response:

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 10, and 11 have been amended as suggested by the Examiner to correct antecedent
10 deficiencies. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-11, and 13 have been amended to clarify how many state units are being referenced. Claims 5, 8, and 12 have been cancelled and are no longer in need of consideration. Claim 9 has been amended to more particularly point out and claim the invention and is
15 believed now to be similar in nature to claim 2. No new material has been introduced.

Every effort has been made to provide the required consistency, understandability, and proper antecedent
20 basis throughout all claims remaining in the application. In light of the amendments shown in the "Amendments to the Claims" section of this response, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-11, and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

25

Allowable Subject Matter**Examiner:**

5 Claims 5 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response:

10 The Applicant acknowledges and appreciates the allowability of claims 5 and 12 if rewritten appropriately. Without any disclaimer of any kind regarding the merits of any or all claims as originally filed, base claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 5 and base
15 claim 7 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 12. Claims 5 and 12 have been cancelled. No new material has been introduced.

20 In addition to the cited amendments concerning the allowability of claims 5 and 12, the Applicant has made every effort to comply with suggestions offered by the Examiner to overcome the cited 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections as previously discussed. As a result, and because the
25 allowability of claims 2-4, 6, 9-11, and 13 ultimately depends upon the allowability of their respective base claims 1 and 7, it is believed that the Examiner may now consider all claims remaining in the present application allowable over Bombal et al. and all other known prior art.

30 Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-11, and 13.

Sincerely,

5 Winston Hsu Date: 5/26/2004

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No.41,526

P.O. BOX 506

Merrifield, VA 22116

U.S.A.

10 e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com.tw

(Please contact me by e-mail if you need a telephone communication and I will return your call promptly.)

15



中華民國經濟部智慧財產局

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE
MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

茲證明所附文件，係本局存檔中原申請案的副本，正確無訛。
其中請資料如下：

This is to certify that annexed is a true copy from the records of this
office of the application as originally filed which is identified here

申 請 日：西元 2002 年 08 月 01 日
Application Date

申 請 案 號：091117385
Application No.

申 請 人：威盛電子股份有限公司
Applicant(s)

局 長
Director General

蔡 練 生

發文日期：西元 2002 年 9
Issue Date

發文字號：09111017832
Serial No.